

$\phi(1680)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\phi(1680)$ MASS

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1680 ± 20 OUR ESTIMATE				
1700 \pm 23	2k	1 ACHASOV	18A SND	$1.3\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
1674 \pm 12 \pm 6	6.2k	2 LEES	14H BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
1733 \pm 10 \pm 10		3 LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1689 \pm 7 \pm 10	4.8k	4 SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1709 \pm 20 \pm 43		5 AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1623 \pm 20	948	6 AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
~ 1500		7 ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \omega\pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
~ 1900		8 ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
1700 \pm 20		9 CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K\pi$
1657 \pm 27	367	10 BISELLO	91C DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
1655 \pm 17		11 BUON	88B DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
1680 \pm 10		12 MANE	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1677 \pm 12				$K_S^0 K\pi$

¹ Assuming the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

² Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

³ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

⁴ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

⁵ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

⁶ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

⁷ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁸ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

⁹ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

¹⁰ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.

¹¹ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

¹² Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1753 \pm 3	1 LINK	02K FOCS	$20\text{--}160 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-p$
1726 \pm 22	1 BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-X$
1760 \pm 20	1 ATKINSON	85C OMEG	$20\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
1690 \pm 10	1 ASTON	81F OMEG	$25\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-X$

¹ We list here a state decaying into K^+K^- possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1700±8	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

 $\phi(1680)$ WIDTH **$e^+ e^-$ PRODUCTION**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150±50 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

300±50	2k	¹ ACHASOV	18A	SND	1.3–2.0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$	
165±38± 70	6.2k	² LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$	
300±15± 37		³ LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	
211±14± 19	4.8k	⁴ SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	
322±77±160		⁵ AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
139±60	948	⁶ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2		1.05–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$	
300±60		⁷ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K\pi$	
146±55	367	BISELLLO	91C	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	
207±45		⁸ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	
185±22		⁹ BUON	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
102±36		¹⁰ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K\pi$	

¹ Assuming the $K\bar{K}^*(892) +$ c.c. dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

² Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

³ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

⁴ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

⁵ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) +$ c.c. and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

⁶ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

⁷ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

⁸ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$

⁹ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

¹⁰ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

122±63	¹ LINK	02K	FOCS	20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
121±47	¹ BUSENITZ	89	TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80±40	¹ ATKINSON	85C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
100±40	¹ ASTON	81F	OMEG	25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

¹ We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
143±24	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

 $\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_2 K_S^0 K\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_4 K_L^0 K_S^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 e^+ e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \omega\pi\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_7 \phi\pi\pi$	
$\Gamma_8 K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \eta\phi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \eta\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

 $\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel (I) in e^+e^- annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(I)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(I)/\text{total}$.

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
14.3±2.4±6.2	6.2k	¹ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$

¹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_7\Gamma_5/\Gamma$		
VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4.2±0.2±0.3	LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^- .

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
0.131 ± 0.059	948	¹ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$1.15 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.01$		¹ AUBERT 08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma + \text{c.c.}$
3.29 ± 1.57	367	² BISELLO 91C	DM2	$1.35-2.40 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

² Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-7})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$1.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21$	4.8k	¹ SHEN 09	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
¹ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.				

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.43 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.09$	¹ AUBERT 08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
¹ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.			

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K_S^0 K\pi)$

Γ_1/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
dominant	MANE	82	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$

Γ_3/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.07 ± 0.01	BUON	82	$DM1 \quad e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.10	BUON	82	DM1 $e^+ e^-$

 $\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

 $\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

≈ 0.37 ¹ AUBERT 08S BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

 $\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV	18A	PR D97 032011	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(CBAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65 1255.		
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)